Opening of Ring C in Ruthenium-Catalyzed Rearrangements of 15,16-Epoxybeyerane Diterpenes Hydroxylated at C-12

José Dueñas, Andrés García-Granados,* Antonio Martínez, Esther Onorato, and Andrés Parra Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071-Granada, Spain

Received July 15, 1994®

Rearrangements catalyzed by ruthenium acetylacetonate of ent- 1β -acetoxy- 15α , 16α -epoxybeveranes with axial or equatorial hydroxyl groups at C-12 were carried out. The expected rearrangement products (ent-kaur-15-ene and ent-kaur-16-ene) were isolated mainly from the 12-axial-hydroxyl compound. However, when the 12-equatorial-hydroxyl group was present, it participated in a process involving ring opening and cyclization from rear to give C-12 epimerized ent-kaur-15-ene and ent-kaur-16-ene compounds, as well as ent-16(R)-kauran-12-one, via concerted $12 \rightarrow 16$ hydride migration. On the basis of deuterium labeling experiments, the ring C opening and cyclization process seems to occur through an aldehyde intermediate.

Introduction

Many rearrangements of diterpene compounds have been published. 1-11 Normally these rearrangements can be explained as the result of an electron deficiency, principally at C-16 in the case of epoxybeyeranes, which promotes a series of biomimetic rearrangements as indicated in the general schemes of McAlles and McCrindle. 12,13 In only one case was the formation of a carbocation at C-15 considered responsible for the rearrangement of beyerane compounds.

Other functional groups on the rings could participate in the rearrangement process to give either products with different skeletons from those indicated previously, 13 or products with same skeleton but with a different mode of functionalization. Alternatively, variations in the yields could have occurred modifying the proportions of the products thus obtained. The influence of C-14 and C-15 substituents in different configurations over rearrangement of ent-15 α ,16 α -epoxybeyeranes⁸ and ent-16 β ,-17-epoxykauranes¹⁰ has been reported. The participation of neighboring groups in solvolysis processes was also proved. 14 On the basis of these observations, the influence of the functionalization at C-12 on the rearrangement processes of *ent*-15α,16α-epoxybeyeranes was studied.

Results and Discussion

Deoxygenation of the natural product 1-acetyljativatriol $(1)^{15,16}$ at C-17 via the 17-chlorinated compound $(2, 1)^{15,16}$

- Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, March 1, 1995. (1) Kapadi, A. H.; Dev, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1965, 18, 1255.
- (2) Hanson, J. R. Tetrahedron 1967, 23, 793.
- (3) Yoshikoshi, A.; Kitadani, M.; Kitahara, Y. Tetrahedron 1967, 23,
- (4) Buchanam, J. C. St. C.; Davis, B. R. Chem. Commun. 1967, 1142.
 (5) Hanson, J. R. Tetrahedron 1970, 26, 2711.
- (6) Gunn, P. A.; McCrindle, R.; Roy, R. G. J. Chem. Soc. C 1971,
- (7) Murray, R. D.; Mills, R. W.; McAlees, A. J.; McCrindle, R. Tetrahedron 1974,30, 3399.
- (8) García-Granados, A.; Martínez, A.; Onorato, M. E. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 606.
- (9) Fraga, B. M.; Hanson, J. R.; Hernández, M. G.; Tellado, F. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 6899. (10) Avent, A. G.; Hanson, J. R.; Hitchcock, P. B.; De Oliveira, B.
- H. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. I 1990, 2661.
 (11) Cheng, Y.-X.; Zhou, W.-S.; Wu, H.-M. Tetrahedron 1993, 49,
- (12) McAlees, A. J.; McCrindle, R. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 **1975**, 861.
- (13) McAlees, A. J.; McCrindle, R.; Murphy, S. T. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1976, 1042.
 - (14) García-Granados, A.; Parra, A. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 9103.

see Experimental Section) gives ent-1β-acetoxy-12α-hydroxybeyer-15-ene (3), which was epoxidized to give the ent- 15α , 16α -epoxy derivative 4.

Treatment of 4 with ruthenium acetylacetonate (Ru-acac)⁸ in chloroform provided the "normal" rearrangement products¹ *ent*-kaur-15-ene derivative **5** (20%), ent-kaur-16-ene derivative 6 (20%), as well as the chlorinated derivative 7 (10%). Mass spectrometry of 7 demonstrated the presence of the chlorine. ¹H NMR experiments indicated that the chlorine was situated at C-16, with a trans-disposition with respect to the ent-15 α -hydroxy group (J = 3.8 Hz). The epoxy opening mantained the configuration in oxygenated carbon, and the hydroxyl group thus formed was trans with respect to the chlorine. Moreover, the geminal proton to the hydroxyl was near to C-20 methyl group, which was probed by NOE experiments. Thus, hydroxyl group must be situated at C-15 (ent- α) and the chlorine atom at C-16 (ent- β). Thus the opening of the original ent-15 α ,16 α epoxy group could be due to HCl, generated from solvent (CHCl₃) under the reaction conditions. Apparently the C-12 axial hydroxyl group does not take part in the process. To study the possible influence of the configuration at C-12, the alcohol 4 was oxidized, to give the epoxy ketone 8 (90%), which was reduced with NaBH₄ or NaBD₄ to obtain 4 (25%) and 9 (70%), or 10 (70%) and 11 (25%), respectively.

The rearrangement of the C-12 equatorial alcohol 9 gave ent-kaur-15-ene 5 (10%), ent-kaur-16-ene 6 (25%), ketone product 12 (35%), and aldehyde (13) in very low yield (5%). It is clear that, in addition to skeletal rearrangement, epimerization at C-12 also occurred leading to the alcohols 5 and 6; unchanged equatorial alcohol 9 was also recovered (20%).

The formation of ketone 12 could be explicable in terms of the rearrangement of ent-beyerane to ent-kaurane with concerted migration of the hydride from C-12 to C-16 to give a 16(R) configuration (path a of Figure 1). This migration was confirmed by rearrangement of C-12 deuterated alcohol (product 10), which gave four analogous products (14-17) to those described from 9. Thus ketone 16 had the deuterium atom on C-16, which confirmed the proposed migration. Moreover, deutera-

⁽¹⁵⁾ Kuivila, H. G. Synthesis 1970, 499. Downie, I. M.; Holmes, J. B.; Lee, J. B. Chem. Ind. 1966, 900.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Carrascal, M. I.; Rabanal, R. M.; Márquez, C.; Valverde, S. An. Quim. 1978, 74, 1547.

Figure 1. Proposed mechanism of rearrangement of epoxy compounds 4, 9, 10, and 11.

tion was also suggestive of the possible mechanism of this rearrangement-epimerization to give products 5 and 6 from 9. On the basis of the observation that the deuterium atom remained at C-12 in products 14 and 15, the formation of a transient aldehyde intermediate A (or B for deuterated series) (see path b of Figure 1) was proposed, which cycled at the si face to ent-beyerane product (epimer at C-12, products 4 or 11) with the help of Ru-acac. These evolved as indicated above, or to entkaurane products, with the participation of protons at C-15 (to give ent-kaur-15-ene, products 5 or 14) or C-17 (to give ent-kaur-16-ene, products 6 or 15) (see path c of Figure 1). Such processes could be considered similar to those occurring in the Prins reactions.¹⁷ This mechanism was supported by the isolation of an aldehyde compound (13 or 17), which resulted from cyclization of the proposed aldehyde intermediate (A or B) at its α -position (C-11) to give the bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane 13 (or product 17 when the starting material was deuterated at C-12) (see path d of Figure 1). The ¹H NMR signal of the aldehyde group in compound 13 (δ 9.47, 1H, d, J = 6.6 Hz) indicated that this group was vicinal to a methine group. COSY experiments indicated that this proton was coupled to another proton with a signal at δ 2.60 (1H, dd, $J_1 = 6.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 9.3$ Hz), which was also coupled to a signal at δ 2.12 (1H, d, J=9.3 Hz). When starting material was deuterated at C-12, the corresponding signal (δ 2.60) of rearranged aldehyde 17 was a doublet signal (J = 9.3 Hz). C/H correlation experiments indicated that the proton at δ 2.12 was situated at C-9. Hence, the proton signal at δ 2.60 was the signal of a

methine group at C-11, and the aldehyde group resulted from the hydroxylated C-12 of starting material 10. Two doublets (J = 5.6 Hz) due to H-15 and H-16 at δ 6.20 and 5.88, respectively, were observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the aldehyde 13, which indicated that both protons were situated between two quaternary carbons. The stereochemistry of the aldehyde group (in 13 or 17) was established by NOE-difference experiments. Thus it was proved that the methine group at C-15 was near the methyl group at C-20, which defined the stereochemistry of the vinylic portion. On the basis of these observations, we concluded that a new bicyclo[2.2.1]octane structure was formed. Stereochemistry at C-11 was tentatively assigned, although the experimental value $(J_{9,11} = 9.3 \text{ Hz})$ was midway between the calculated values¹⁸ for the two configurations at C-11. However, the 11(R)-configuration was more stable (E = 54.5 kcal/mol) than 11(S)-configuration (E = 60.5 kcal/mol), and regardless of their mode of formation, both structures can be interconverted by keto-enol tautomerism. Moreover, the notable chemical shift of H-9 (δ 2.12) was in accord with the spatial situation in the minimum energy conformation for the 11(R)-epimer, in which oxygen of the aldehyde group was close to H-9.

The process of rearrangement from epoxybeyeranes to kauranes is usually admitted as a concerted process that is able to be influenced by the presence of substituents on the α-position to the carbenium ion that is originated in said rearrangements. However, the formation of this aldehyde compound, and the epimerizations detected at C-12, indicated that in the case of epoxybeyeranes with

⁽¹⁷⁾ Roberts, C. W. In Friedel-Crafts and Related Reactions; Olah, G. A., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1964; Vol. 2, part 2, p 1175.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Program P. C. MODEL available from Serena Software, P. O. Box 3076, Bloomington, IN 47402-3076.

an equatorial hydroxyl on this carbon (C-12) which takes part in the carbenium ion, the rerrangement was not concerted, but occurred through aldehyde intermediates, in a process analogous to the Prins and retro-Prins reactions.

Experimental Section

Isolation of Starting Product. ent-1 β -Acetoxy-12 α ,17-dihydroxybeyer-15-ene (1-acetyljativatriol, 1), used as starting product for this work, was isolated from $Sideritis\ pusilla.$ 16

Chlorination of Product 1. In a 100 mL flask, 2 g of product 1 was treated with 20 mL of Py, 10 mL of Cl₄C, and 5 g of Ph₃P. The reaction mixture was refluxed in argon atmosphere for 2 h; the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with saturated aqueous KHSO₄, and dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. Chromatography over silica gel yielded ent-1β-acetoxy-17-chloro-12α-hydroxybeyer-15-ene (2) (1200 mg, 60%): mp 168-70 °C; [α]_D +8.2° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR ν_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 3492, 3050, 1710, 1253; ¹H MNR δ 5.30 (2 H, s), 4.52 (1 H, dd, J_1 = 4.9 Hz, J_2 = 10.8 Hz), 3.99 (1 H, br d, J = 5.1 Hz), 3.78 (1 H, d, J = 10.8 Hz), 3.35 (1 H, d, J = 10.8 Hz), 1.99 (3 H, s), 0.87, 0.84, and 0.82 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 381 (8), 365 (13), 363 (32), 345 (4), 323 (14), 321 (42), 285 (100). Anal. Found: C, 69.16; H, 8.91. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₃O₃Cl: C, 69.36; H, 8.73.

Reduction of Product 2. A 1150 mg amount of product 2 was dissolved in 24 mL of toluene, and a solution of 4.5 mL of tri-n-butyltin hydride and azoisobutyronitrile in 15 mL of toluene was added. The mixture was refluxed for 10 h, concentrated, and dissolved in diethyl ether. After a solution of KF was added and the precipitate was filtered, the filtrate

Table 1. ¹³C NMR Chemical Shifts of Compounds 2-9^a

C	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
1	82.0	82.1	82.5	84.3	84.0	82.5	82.0	82.3	
2	24.9	24.9	24.8	25.5	25.5	24.8	24.7	24.9	
3	39.2	39.2	38.9	39.4	39.2	39.0	39.2	39.2	
4	33.0	33.0	33.0	33.2	33.2	32.6	33.0	32.9	
5	55.1	55.1	55.2	54.9	55.1	55.4	54.8	55.0	
6	19.9	21.3	19.6	18.3	19.3	19.8	19.4	19.6	
7	36.8	37.1	31.6	29.8	30.9	32.6	33.3	32.5	
8	50.0	48.2	44.4	55.1	49.6	46.0	45.3	44.4	
9	49.3	49.2	52.0	50.2	57.0	51.7	51.7	56.1	
10	41.7	41.7	42.0	43.5	43.3	42.4	42.3	42.2	
11	32.6	32.6	32.9	30.6	32.1	30.5	43.1	31.8	
12	66.3	71.1	72.1	70.2	69.8	71.0	210.3	76.4	
13	53.5	50.0	45.1	60.2	59.1	46.4	53.9	43.8	
14	49.6	52.9	39.1	77.8	74.5	45.8	40.1	44.3	
15	138.1	137.4	55.5	132.5	44.5	80.6	55.5	55.7	
16	132.9	137.0	59.7	139.6	148.5	77.6	59.2	58.1	
17	48.7	21.3	17.7	17.9	109.2	21.1	13.9	17.4	
18	33.0	33.0	32.9	33.2	33.2	33.1	32.9	32.9	
19	21.5	21.5	21.4	21.5	21.5	21.6	21.3	21.3	
20	11.0	10.9	12.7	15.0	15.2	11.7	13.2	12.7	
CH_3	22.1	22.1	22.0	22.1	22.1	21.8	22.0	22.0	
CO	170.7	170.7	170.6	170.5	170.8	170.7	170.4	170.9	

 a The $^{13}{\rm C}$ chemical shifts are given in δ values (ppm) relative to CDCl $_3$ signals.

was dried and concentrated to obtain ent-1 β -acetoxy-12 α -hydroxybeyer-15-ene (3) (990 mg, 86%): mp 135–37 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +48.5° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 3348, 1735, 1245; ¹H MNR δ 5.70 (1 H, d, J = 5.7 Hz), 5.53 (1 H, d, J = 5.7 Hz), 4.50 (1 H, dd, J_1 = 4.9 Hz, J_2 = 10.7 Hz), 3.58 (1 H, br d, J = 5.2 Hz), 1.96 (3 H, s), 1.02, 0.84, 0.82, and 0.80 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 347 (2), 329 (46), 269 (98), 243 (30), 287 (100). Anal. Found: C, 76.16; H, 10.19. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{34}O_{3}$: C, 76.26; H, 9.89.

Epoxidation of Product 3. A solution of **3** (950 mg) in 5 mL of CHCl₃ was treated with 950 mg of m-CPBA. After 12 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was washed with aqueous FeSO₄ (10%), aqueous HNaCO₃ (5%), and water, dried with MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum to obtain 920 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-15 α ,16 α -epoxy-12 α -hydroxybeyerane (4, 97%): colorless gum; [α]_D +5.8° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR ν _{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 3428, 1735, 1722, 1246; ¹H NMR δ 4.51 (1 H, dd, J_1 = 4.9 Hz, J_2 = 10.8 Hz), 3.71 (1 H, br d, J = 5.8 Hz), 3.36 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 3.01 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.00 (3 H, s), 1.07, 1.06, 0.87, and 0.84 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 363 (30), 345 (25), 303 (95), 285 (83), 279 (100).

Rearrangement of Product 4. A mixture of product 4, ruthenium acetylacetonate (20 mg), and 15 mL of CHCl₃ was heated at 140 °C in a sealed tube (6 h). Then, when the solution cooled to room temperature, it was concentrated and directly chromatographed to give 40 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-12 α ,-14 α -dihydroxykaur-15-ene (5, 20%); [mp 210–12 °C; [α]_D +1.0° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 3428, 1713, 1251; ¹H NMR δ $5.06 (1 \text{ H, m}), 4.55 (1 \text{ H, dd}, J_1 = 5.2 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 10.5 \text{ Hz}) 4.04 (1 \text{ Hz})$ H, ddd, $J_1 = 10.0 \text{ Hz}$, $J_2 = 7.5 \text{ Hz}$, $J_3 = 3.2 \text{ Hz}$), 3.94 (1 H, br s), 2.61 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.03 (3 H, s), 1.82, 1.13, 0.85, and0.80 (3 H each, s); 13 C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]+ 363 (0.1), 345 (9), 303 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.90; H, 9.50. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₄O₄: C, 72.89; H, 9.45.], 40 mg of ent- 1β -acetoxy- 12α , 14α -dihydroxykaur-16-ene (**6**, 20%) [mp 228-30 °C; $[\alpha]_D - 7.6^\circ$ (CHCl₃, c 1); IR ν_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 3402, 1735, 1245; ¹H NMR δ 5.10 (2H, br s), 4.50 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 5.1$ Hz, J_2 = 10.6 Hz) 4.05 (1 H, br s), 3.92 (1 H, ddd, J_1 = 9.8 Hz, J_2 = $6.0 \text{ Hz}, J_3 = 3.6 \text{ Hz}), 2.70 (1 \text{ H}, d, J = 3.0 \text{ Hz}), 2.00 (3 \text{ H}, s),$ 1.12, 0.85, and 0.82 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 363 (5), 345 (57), 303 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.71; H, 9.81. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{34}O_4$: C, 72.89; H, 9.45.], and 20 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-16 β -chloro-12 α ,15 α -dihydroxybeyerane (7, 10%) [colorless gum; $[\alpha]_D$ -17.0° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR ν_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 3444, 1708, 1260; ¹H MNR δ 4.55 (1 H, dd, J_1 = $5.2 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 10.5 \text{ Hz}), 4.27 (1 \text{ H}, \text{dd}, J_1 = 3.8 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 2.2 \text{ Hz}),$ $3.75 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ddd}, J_1 = 5.9 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 3.9 \text{ Hz}, J_3 = 1.9 \text{ Hz}), 3.72 (1 \text{ Hz})$ H, d, J = 3.8 Hz), 2.02 (3 H, s), 1.08, 1.03, 0.86, and 0.82 (3 H

Table 2. 13C NMR Chemical Shifts of Compounds 10-17a

C	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		
1	82.2	82.5	83.9	82.9	84.3	84.0	83.9	82.9		
2	24.8	24.9	25.4	24.3	25.4	25.6	25.4	24.3		
3	39.0	38.9	39.2	39.7	39.3	39.1	39.3	39.7		
4	32.8	32.9	33.0	33.0	33.2	33.2	33.3	33.0		
5	54.9	55.2	54.9	56.4	54.8	55.0	54.9	56.4		
6	19.4	19.6	19.6	20.1	18.3	19.3	19.6	20.1		
7	32.3	31.6	32.3	32.3	30.6	30.9	32.3	32.4		
8	44.1	44.4	51.5	55.3	55.1	49.5	51.6	56.1		
9	56.0	52.0	55.1	65.9	50.2	57.0	55.1	65.8		
10	42.1	42.0	43.7	43.1	43.7	43.3	43.7	43.0		
11	31.5	32.9	37.2	62.4	30.6	30.2	37.2	62.4		
12			213.5	204.9			213.4			
13	43.6	45.2	67.0	55.3	60.1	59.0	67.0	55.3		
14	44.1	39.2	75.4	63.1	77.8	74.5	75.5	63.2		
15	55.5	55.5	47.6	137.3	132.5	44.5	47.6	137.2		
16	57.9	59.9	35.8	139.2	139.6	148.5		139.2		
17	17.1	17.7	23.7	17.6	18.3	109.1	23.8	17.6		
18	32.8	32.9	33.1	33.7	33.2	33.2	33.1	33.7		
19	21.2	21.4	21.5	21.7	21.5	21.4	21.5	21.7		
20	12.5	12.8	13.7	13.7	14.9	15.2	13.7	13.7		
CH_3	21.9	22.1	22.0	22.1	22.1	22.0	22.1	22.1		
CO	170.6	170.6	170.7	171.4	170.5	170.6	170.7	171.4		

 a The $^{13}{\rm C}$ chemical shifts are given in δ values (ppm) relative to CDCl $_3$ signals.

each, s); 13 C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 399 (1.2), 341 (4.9), 339 (16.3), 323 (33), 321 (100), 303 (45), 285 (52.7).]

Oxidation of Product 4. A 700 mg sample of product 4 was dissolved in acetone (15 mL) and was oxidized with Jones's reagent, stopped with a few drops of methanol, diluted with water, extracted with CH₂Cl₂, dried with MgSO₄, and evaporated under vacuum. After CC, 665 mg of ent-1β-acetoxy-15α,-16α-epoxybeyer-12-one (8, 95%) was isolated: mp 135-37 °C; [α]_D -98.2° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR v_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 1735, 1712, 1242; ¹H NMR δ 4.45 (1 H, dd, J_1 = 4.7 Hz, J_2 = 10.8 Hz), 3.60 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz), 3.20 (1 H, d, J = 2.9 Hz) 2,47 (1 H, dd, J₁ = 11.7 Hz, J₂ = 16.8 Hz), 2.20 (1 H, dd, J₁ = 6.7 Hz, J₂ = 16.8 Hz), 2.06 (3 H, s), 1.13, 1.12, 0.89, and 0.87 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 361 (3), 343 (1), 301 (100), 283 (25). Anal. Found: C, 72.96; H, 9.17. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₂O₄: C, 73.30; H, 8.95.

Reduction of Product 8. A solution of product 8 (300 mg) in 15 mL of EtOH was treated with 50 mg of NaBH4. The mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature. The solution was slowly acidified with HCl, diluted with water, and extracted with CH2Cl2. The organic layer was treated with MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum. After CC, 75 mg of 4 (25%) and 210 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-15 α ,16 α -epoxy-12 β hydroxybeyerane (9, 70%) were obtained. 9: mp 140-42 °C; $[\alpha]_D + 0.8^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃, c 1); IR ν_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 3469, 1735, 1703, 1256; ¹H NMR δ 4.49 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 5.1$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.6$ Hz), $3.50~(1~\mathrm{H},~\mathrm{dd},~J_1=9.7~\mathrm{Hz},~J_2=6.6~\mathrm{Hz}),~3.38~(1~\mathrm{H},~\mathrm{d},~J=3.0~\mathrm{Hz})$ Hz), 3.26 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 1.90 (3 H, s), 1.10, 1.09, 0.85,and 0.83 (3 H each, s); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 1; CIMS, m/z (%) $[M+1]^+$ 363 (6), 362 (7), 345 (29), 303 (56), 285 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.52; H, 9.85. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₄O₄: C, 72.89; H, 9.45

Reduction of Product 8 with BD₄Na. A 300 mg sample of product 8 was dissolved in 15 mL of EtOH, 50 mg of NaBD4 was added at room temperature, and the mixture was worked up as indicated above to obtain 210 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-12 α deutero- 15α , 16α -epoxy- 12β -hydroxybeyerene (10, 70%) [mp 210–12 °C; $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +4.2° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 3436, 1712, 1247; ¹H NMR δ 4.52 (1 H, dd, J_1 = 4.5 Hz, J_2 = 10.0 Hz), 3.40 (1 H, d, J = 3.1 Hz), 3.27 (1 H, d, J = 3.1 Hz), 2.0 (3 H, s), 1.12, 1.11, 0.87, and 0.85 (3 H each, s); ^{13}C NMR see Table 2; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 364 (0.7), 346 (28), 304 (64), 286 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.73; H, 8.81. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₃-DO₄: C, 72.69; H, 9.15.] and 75 mg of 78 ent-1 β -acetoxy-12 β deutero-15α,16α-epoxy-12α-hydroxybeyerane (11, 25%) [mp 228-30 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ +6.0° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR ν_{max} (neat, cm⁻¹) 3493, 1713, 1247; ¹H NMR δ 4.51 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 4.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.7$ Hz), 3.36 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 3.00 (1 H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.00(3 H, s), 1.07, 1.05, 0.87, and 0.84 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 364 (11), 346 (13), 304 (78), 286 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.50; H, 8.76. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{33}$ -DO₄: C, 72.69; H, 9.15.]

Rearrangement of Product 9. An amount of 200 mg of product 9 was treated with ruthenium acetylacetonate for 3 h with the above indicated reaction conditions and directly chromatographed to give 20 mg of product 5 (10%), 50 mg of product 6 (25%), 70 mg of ent- 1β -acetoxy- 14α -hydroxy-(16R)kauran-12-one (12, 35%) [mp 198-200 °C; [α]_D -6.8° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 3457, 1738, 1711, 1237; ¹H NMR δ $4.55 (1 \text{ H}, \text{dd}, J_1 = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 10.3 \text{ Hz}), 4.22 (1 \text{ H}, \text{d}, J = 3.0)$ Hz), 2.70 (1 H, d, J = 17.0 Hz) 2.52 (1 H, br s), 2.50 (1 H, dd) $J_1 = 17.0 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 10.2 \text{ Hz}), 2.06 (3 \text{ H, s}), 1.16 (3 \text{ H, d}, J = 7.1)$ Hz), 0.93, 0.86, and 0.79 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; CIMS, m/z (%) [M + 1]⁺ 363 (1.3), 345 (1.5), 303 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.77; H, 9.73. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₄O₄: C, 72.89; H, 9.45.] and 10 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-13(12 \rightarrow 11)-abeo-beyer-15en-12-one 13 (5%) [mp 190 °C dec; [a]D -48.0° (CHCl3, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 1735, 1240, 1028; ¹H NMR δ 9.46 (1 H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 6.20 (1 H, d, J = 5.6 Hz), 5.88 (1 H, d, J = 5.6 Hz) Hz), 4.53 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 6.0$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.3$ Hz), 2.60 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 9.3 \text{ Hz}, 2.12 (1 \text{ H}, d, J = 9.3 \text{ Hz}), 1.92 (3 \text{ H}, d, J = 9.3 \text{ Hz})$ s), 1.15, 0.98, 0.89, and 0.85 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; EIMS, m/z (%) [M]+ 344 (1.5), 284 (7.0), 269 (8.3), 93 (100). Anal. Found: C, 76.38; H, 9.64. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{32}O_3$: C, 76.70; H. 9.36.1

Rearrangement of Product 10. A 200 mg sample of product 10 was treated with ruthenium acetylacetonate for 3 h with the above indicated reaction conditions and directly chromatographed to give 20 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-12 β -deutero- $12,14\alpha$ -dihydroxykaur-15-ene (14, 10%) [mp 217-19 °C; [α]_D 0.0° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 3416, 1713, 1244; ¹H NMR δ 5.07 (1 H, br s), 4.55 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 5.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.5$ Hz), 3.94 (1 H, br s), 2.65 (1 H, br s), 2.00 (3 H, s), 1.82, 1.13, 0.85 and 0.81 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; EIMS, m/z $(\%)\,[M]^+\,363\,(0.2),\,303\,(5.4),\,288\,(2.0),\,285\,(3.5),\,43\,(100).\,Anal.$ Found: C, 72.60; H, 8.83. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₃DO₄: C, 72.69; H, 9.15.], 40 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-12 β -deutero-12 α ,14 α -dihydroxykaur-16-ene (15, 25%) [mp 228-30 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -6.9° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm $^{-1})$ 3402, 1732, 1242 cm $^{-1};$ ^{1}H NMR δ 4.96 $(2 \text{ H, br s}), 4.50 (1 \text{ H, dd}, J_1 = 5.1 \text{ Hz}, J_2 = 10.6 \text{ Hz}) 4.00 (1 \text{ H, } J_2 = 10.6 \text{ Hz})$ br s), 2.65 (1 H, br s), 1.98 (3 H, s), 1.10, 0.86, and 0.80 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; EIMS, m/z (%) [M]+ 363 (0.2), 303 (7.1), 285 (5.6), 267 (2.6), 43 (100). Anal. Found: C, 72.76; H, 8.78. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₃DO₄: C, 72.69; H, 9.15.], 70 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-16 α -deutero-14 α -hydroxykauran-12-one (16, 35%) [mp syrup; [α]_D +4.2° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 3456, 1735, 1706, 1237; ¹H NMR δ 4.55 (1 H, dd, J_1 = 5.3 Hz, J_2 = 10.3 Hz), 4.22 (1 H, br s), 2.70 (1 H, d), J = 17.1 Hz), 2.52 (1 H, d)br s), 2.49 (1 H, dd, $J_1 = 17.1$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.2$ Hz) 2.06 (3 H, s), 1.15, 0.93, 0.86, and 0.79 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; EIMS, m/z (%) [M]⁺ 363 (0.8), 303 (5.0), 286 (7.5), 268 (7.3), 43 (100).], and 10 mg of ent-1 β -acetoxy-12-deutero-13(12 \rightarrow 11)abeo-beyer-15-en-12-one (17) (5%) [mp 190 °C dec; $[\alpha]_D$ -50.0° (CHCl₃, c 1); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ (neat, cm⁻¹) 1735, 1242; ¹H NMR δ 6.20 J = 5.5 Hz), 5.88 (1 H, d, J = 5.5 Hz), $4.43 (1 \text{ H}, dd, J_1 = 5.9)$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.2 Hz$), 2.59 (1 H, d J = 9.3 Hz), 2.12 (1H, d, J = 9.3 Hz) 9.3 Hz) 1.92 (3 H, s), 1.15, 0.97, 0.89, and 0.85 (3 H each, s); ¹³C NMR see Table 2; EIMS, m/z (%) [M]⁺ 345 (1.7), 285 (7.3), 270 (8.5), 255 (2.6), 93 (100). Anal. Found: C, 76.31; H, 8.68. Calcd for C₂₂H₃₁DO₃: C, 76.48; H, 9.04.]

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant from the Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología. We thank Ms. Karen Shashok for revising the English style of the manuscript.

Supplementary Material Available: Copies of ¹H NMR spectra of 4, 7, and 16 (3 pages). This material is contained in libraries on microfiche, immediately follows this article in the microfilm version of the journal, and can be ordered from the ACS; see any current masthead page for ordering information.

JO9411886